Kali Bari Marg, New Delhi have been taken up for investigation.

(b) and (c) No enquiry has been conducted in July/August, 1991 excepting the cases at (a) under investigation.

(d) and (e) The requisite information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.

De-reservation of Posts in C.P.W.D.

1796. SHRI ANAND PRAKASH GAUTAM: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that 54 posts of Assistant Engineers (Civil/Electrical) including 29 A.E. (C) plus 4 A.E. (E) from Limited Departmental Examination has been de-reserved in CPWD which were reserved for SC/ST Junior Engineers;

(b) if so, the reasons therefor; and

(c) the reasons for which the C.P.W.D. has not conducted the separate Limited Departmental Examination for SC/ST to fill up these posts prior to the De-reservation of the posts in question?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) 50 posts of Assistant Engineer (Civil/Elect.) reserved for Junior Engineers belonging to the SC/ST, including 28 posts of Asstt. Engineer (Civil) and 3 posts of Asstt. Engineer (Elect.) falling in the quota for Limited Departmental Competitive Examination have been de-reserved.

(b) The reserved posts falling in the quota for promotion through selection have been de-reserved due to non-availability of SC/ST condidates within the extended zone of consideration. The posts falling in the quota for Departmental Examination have been de-reserved since sufficient number of Junior Engineers belonging to SC/ST did not qualify with reference to the standards fixed by the Union Public Service Commission for SC/ST.

(c) There is no provision in the Recruitment Rules for holding a separate Limited Departmental Competitive Examination for SC/ST condidates only.

Promotion in C.P.W.D.

1797. SHRI ANAND PRAKASH GAUTAM: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that CPWD has promoted 150 Assistant Engineers (Civil) to the posts of Executive Engineer (Civil) in piecemeal i.e. 67 in 5/90, 30 in 11/90, 14 in 5/91 and 39 in 6/91;

(b) if so, the reasons therefor; and

(c) whether it is a fact that a number of SC/ST candidates has thus become debarred from promotion?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) The number of Assistant Engineers (Civil) promoted on ad-hoc basis to the grade of Executive Engineer (Civil) in the Central PWD from August, 1990 onwards is given below:—

Month & Year	No. promoted on ad-hoc basis
8/90	30
11/90	67
	0
1/91	1
3/91	1
5/91	15
6/91	24
7/91	1
8/91	6

(b) Yearwise panels for filling up on ad-hoc basis vacancies arising in the grade of EE(C) in 1989, 1990 and vacancies likely to occur during the period 1-1-1991 to 31-3-1992 were prepared. All officers